(c) Ministry of Power monitors only those private sector power projects that require the techno-economic clearance of the Central Electricity Authority (CEA). Of the projects of Kerala being monitored, there is no hydro-electric project.

(d) and (e) Do not arise.

[Translation]

Projects of Uttar Pradesh

1891. DR. BALIRAM : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) the number of projects pending sanction with the Central Government for hygiene, supply of drinking water and development of slum settlements in Uttar Pradesh.

(b) the amount provided for the implementation of such projects during the last three years and the names of these projects and the names of cities for which this fund was provided;

(c) where sanction has been given to any project of Uttar Pradesh during the year 1995-96 and 1996-97; and

(d) if so, the details thereof ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU) : (a) No projects are pending sanction with the Central Government for hygiene and development of slum settlements in Uttar Pradesh.

In so far as supply of drinking water is concerned, under the Centrally sponsored Accelerated Urban Water Supply Programme, 2 schemes have not been approved as 69 schemes at a total projects cost of Rs. 5858.67 lakhs have already been sanctioned against the notional share of Rs. 1756.19 lakhs for the State of Uttar Pradesh during the Eighth Plan.

(b) to (d) Under the Accelerated Urban Water Supply Programme, eligible for towns having population less than 20,000 as per 1991 census, 69 schemes have been sanctioned during the last three years at a total projects cost of Rs. 5858.67 lakhs and the Central share of Rs. 1978.92 lakhs has been released. Out of these, 27 schemes were sanctioned in 1995-96. The details are enclosed as Statement-I and II.

Under the Low Cost Sanitation programme for Liberation of scavengers 6 schemes at a total project cost of Rs. 9836.16 lakhs have been sanctioned during the last three years. Rs. 504.35 lakhs as subsidy has also been released to the State Government. All the 6 schemes were sanctioned during 1996-97.

Apart from the above, during 1996-97 proposal for augmenting water supply facilities in respect of U.P. hill towns at the project cost of Rs. 4533 lakhs was also received for grant of assistance by the 10th Finance Commission. The same have been technically examined and recommended by this Ministry for financial assistance to the Ministry of Finance as per the recommendation of the 10th Finance Commission which have since been approved.

Statement-I

Schemes under Accelerated Urban Water Supply Programme

(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl. No.	Name of Town	Sanction Dt. Mon./Year	Project cost
1	2	3	4
1.	Karhal	March, 94	106.90
2.	Hastinapur	-do-	116.35
3.	Jalali	-do-	77.25
4.	Jattari	-do-	100.60
5.	Harduaganj	-do-	57.30
6.	Kheragarh	-do-	75.20
7.	Haldaur	-do-	91.00
8.	Umarikalan	-do-	66.70
9.	Nadhawlikalam	-do-	36.00
10.	Raya	-do-	78.00
11.	Marehra	-do-	34.90
12.	Achnera	-do-	67.90
13.	Sasni	-do-	75.55
14.	Ghibore	-do-	57.65
15.	Tulsipur	-do-	97.50
16.	Golabazar	-do-	54.40
17.	Mehnagar	-do-	78.50
18.	Jiyanapur	-do-	56.10
19.	Ajmatgarh	-do-	48.00
20.	Ghughuli	-do-	79.20
21.	Reoti	-do-	77.50
22.	Sikanderpur	-do-	86.70
23.	Karari	-do-	83.34
24.	Bansdih	-do-	83.00
25.	Chandauli	-do-	85.00

1	2	3	4
26.	Bakewar	March, 94	63.60
27.	Lakhna	-do-	43.80
28.	Kulpahar	-do-	81.80
29.	Jhinjhak	-do-	81.20
30.	Bithoor	-do-	46.20
31.	Naraini	-do-	54.50
32.	Tirwaganj	-do-	71.20
33.	Talgram	-do-	53.30
34.	Nawabganj	-do-	8.50
35.	Neotini	-do-	5.70
36.	Mohan	-do-	49.20
37.	Sandi	-do-	65.00
38.	Pali	-do-	59.20
39.	Islamnagar	-do-	68.40
40.	Singhyai Bharora	-do-	86.50
41.	Katra	-do-	103.00
42.	Bazpur	-do-	86.20
43.	Bilariaganj	Jan. 96	64.70
44.	Ramnagar	-do-	75.70
45.	Sankargarh	-do-	157.80
46.	Ghorawal	-do-	87.60
47.	Sidhaur	-do-	58.80
48.	Chopan	March, 96	133.50
49.	B.B. Nagar	-do-	68.30
50.	Daurala	-do-	74.60
51.	Faridpur	-do-	59.50
52.	Usawan	-do-	72.50
53.	Saurik	-do-	81.00
54.	Harriya	-do-	62.40
55.	Hariharpur	-do-	63.00
56.	Bansgaon	-do-	81.20
57.	Bikapur	-do-	101.50
58.	Sarai Akil	-do-	98.98
59.	Dudhi	-do-	156.70
60.	Pali	-do-	63.80
61.	Oran	-do-	59.00
62.	Risia Bazar	March, 96	86.15
63.	Narendernagar	-do-	240.50
64.	Chamba	-do-	537.80
65.	Jhalu	-do-	80.50
66.	Adri	-do-	60.30
67.	Kaladungi	-do-	121.90
68.	Atsu	-do-	79.80
69.	Hargaon	-do-	83.30
	Total		5858.67

Statement-II

Schemes under Low Cost Sanitation Programme

(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl.	Name of Town	Sanction Date	Project cost
1.	Banda	17.7.96	565.20
2.	Badaur	17.7.96	382.97
3.	Moradabad	30.6.96	1298.43
4.	Mathura	30.6.96	1386.11
5.	Agra	30.6.96	5293.18
6.	Muzaffarnagar	30.6.96	910.27
	Total		9836.16

[English

Incentives to Mega Projects

1892. DR. M. JAGANNATH : Will the Minister of PLANNING & PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state :

(a) whether the Government propose to provide special incentives to States to all mega projects as pointed out in National Development Council meeting.

(b) if so, the criteria laid down for identifying the mega projects; and

(c) the steps being taken in this regard ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH) : (a) to (c) In the National Development Council meeting held on 16.1.1997, some of the Chief Ministers in their speeches referred to special incentives being provided by different